Interview Summary

Application No. - 09/502,984

Applicant(s)

Luo et al

Examiner

Jane Zara

Art Unit 1635

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Jane Zara	(3)
(2) Renee Kosslak	(4)
Date of Interview	_ ·
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Exhibit shown of demonstration conducted: d/ Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all pending, in light of the restriction requirement to elect a single sequence Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
The restriction requirement to elect a single sequence was	ence id no: 37, and the pending claims. The non-responsive
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) $oxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required